

ED396615 1995-00-00 Taking Teaching Seriously: Meeting the Challenge of Instructional Improvement. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Taking Teaching Seriously: Meeting the Challenge of Instructional Improvement. ERIC Digest.....	2
WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY CHARACTERISTICS OF A SUPPORTIVE TEACHING CULTURE?.....	2
WHAT STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING INSTRUCTION HELP TEACHERS PROVIDE.....	2
HOW CAN STUDENTS MAKE THEIR VOICES HEARD?.....	3
HOW CAN COLLEAGUES, CONSULTANTS, AND CHAIRS BE HELPFUL IN IMPROVING.....	3
HOW CAN THE SPECIAL NEEDS FOR IMPROVING THE TEACHING OF NEW AND JUNIOR.....	4
WHAT CAN COLLEGES DO TO IMPROVE TEACHING?.....	5
SELECTED REFERENCES.....	5



ERIC Identifier: ED396615

Publication Date: 1995-00-00

Author: Paulsen, Michael B. - Feldman, Kenneth A.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education Washington DC.| BBB32577 _
George Washington Univ. Washington DC. Graduate School of Education and Human Development.

Taking Teaching Seriously: Meeting the Challenge of Instructional Improvement. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

"Taking Teaching Seriously" is drawn from a celebrated address by K. Patricia Cross at the 1986 AAHE National Conference on Higher Education in Washington, D.C. In her address, Cross emphasized the importance of efforts to increase the quality of college teaching. This report uses a model that views various strategies for improving instruction as helping motivate individual faculty members to improve their teaching by changing (and maintaining) certain of their instructional attitudes and practices (through the process of unfreezing, changing, and refreezing certain attitudes and behaviors). This model focuses on the varieties of informative feedback--from such sources as colleagues and consultants, chairs, students, and oneself--that are facilitated by a supportive teaching culture and that drive the process of instructional improvement.

WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY CHARACTERISTICS OF A SUPPORTIVE TEACHING CULTURE?

The presence of a culture that is supportive of teaching clearly enhances the effectiveness of all strategies for improving instruction. The literature consistently identifies the following characteristics of cultures that support teaching and its improvement: unambiguous commitment to and support of teaching and its improvement from senior administrators; shared values about the importance of teaching between administrators and faculty, with widespread involvement of faculty in planning and implementing activities and programs to improve teaching, thus creating a sense of faculty "ownership" of these activities and programs; the presence of effective department chairs who are supportive of teaching and its improvement; frequent interaction and collaboration among faculty and a sense of community among faculty regarding teaching-related issues; a faculty development program or campus teaching center; a broad, expanded view of scholarship and scholarly activities; decisions about tenure and promotion connected to rigorous evaluations of teaching; and a requirement that some demonstration of effective teaching be part of interviewing and hiring new faculty (Massy, Wilger, and Colbeck 1994; Rice and Austin 1990).

WHAT STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING INSTRUCTION HELP TEACHERS PROVIDE

INFORMATIVE FEEDBACK TO THEMSELVES? Because college teachers often have a strong need to seek self-determined competence by continuously scanning the instructional environment for informative feedback, their behavior can be examined and the source of changes in their behavior understood by viewing them as "reflective practitioners." Activities that constitute such practice-centered inquiry have been shown to be useful strategies for improving instruction (Amundsen, Gryspeerdt, and Moxness 1993). The ultimate foundation of all reflective practice or self-reflection is the ability and opportunity to engage in self-evaluation or self-assessment. Two common methods of collecting self-evaluation feedback at universities involve the use of self-rating forms and self-reports. At some colleges and universities, for example, faculty are asked to complete the same (or slightly reworded) questionnaires to evaluate teaching as their students. This procedure enables faculty to analyze their teaching and to reflect on their teaching behaviors along the same dimensions their students use to evaluate them. A second method, self-reports completed by college professors, has traditionally been limited to vitae and reports of activities; recently, however, the idea of self-reports has been conceptually and functionally expanded into a medium, compendium, and showcase for reflective practice--namely, the teaching portfolio, which is essentially an elaborate and reflective form of self-evaluation (Edgerton, Hutchings, and Quinlan 1991).

HOW CAN STUDENTS MAKE THEIR VOICES HEARD?

Students hardly need to be "silent partners" in the enterprise of improving teaching. One way their voices can be heard is through their completing teacher and course evaluations. Research has shown persistently that feedback from student ratings is of value in improving teaching, particularly if this feedback is accompanied by the teacher's consulting with a colleague or a teaching consultant (L'Hommedieu, Menges, and Brinko 1990). Several different ways of using student interviews for giving feedback to teachers have also been reported as successful strategies for improving instruction, including group discussions, small-group instructional diagnosis, the class interview, and quality control circles. A particularly distinctive way of receiving feedback from students is for a professor to invite students into his or her classroom who are not "official" members of the class but who are trained in classroom observation. A student-visitor program primarily provides confidential observations to increase the instructor's effectiveness in helping students learn. Another strategy for "listening" to students has been called "classroom assessment," which consists of a wide range of methods college teachers can use to obtain useful feedback on what, how much, and how well their students are learning (Angelo and Cross 1993).

HOW CAN COLLEAGUES, CONSULTANTS, AND CHAIRS BE HELPFUL IN IMPROVING

TEACHING? Faculty seminars, workshops, and colloquia about teaching are traditional (but still effective) practices for encouraging interaction and collaboration among faculty regarding teaching. Recent developments in a variety of areas--action science, reflective practice, adult learning theory, and the like--have encouraged an expanded range of strategies using colleagues to help improve teaching. One important set of activities, programs, and projects in this expansion is the renewed use of team teaching (Baldwin and Austin 1995). Faculty collaboration through team teaching benefits professors by developing their teaching abilities, intellectually stimulating them, engaging them as self-directed learners, and more closely connecting them to the university or college as a community. A second set of programs and practices is collegial coaching (Keig and Waggoner 1994). Two primary activities involved in collegial coaching are observation of classroom teaching and instructional consultation (the review of course materials and discussions about classroom practices). Based on descriptions and analyses of coaching projects at colleges and universities, effective programs have all or most of the following characteristics: an underlying philosophy; a procedure for selecting participants; a training program for collegial coaches; a pre-observation conference; one or more classroom visits and observations; a post-observation conference; and a chance for participants to evaluate their effectiveness.

Many of the informal processes of consultation carried out in collegial coaching projects have been formalized in a comprehensive set of more routine services provided by the trained consultants who constitute the staff of campus teaching centers. Instructional consultation is usually based on a comprehensive model that includes data collection and analysis by the consultant, strategies for improvement worked out between the consultant and the teacher, and evaluation (Lewis and Powlacs 1988). Consultation improves teaching primarily through the use of effective practices in giving feedback (often associated with student ratings and direct observation or videotapes of classroom teaching) and through the various interpersonal roles assumed by consultants.

Department chairs are also important to the improvement of teaching. One way they help is by providing support--financial and otherwise--to ongoing formal and informal attempts to improve teaching. They are invaluable in defining faculty development and instructional improvement (as distinct from faculty evaluation) as an important departmental activity. They can plan programs for the department, such as pedagogical colloquia, that help improve teaching. They can even intervene more directly by following steps similar to those used in instructional consultation (Creswell, Wheeler, Seagren, Egly, and Beyer 1990).

HOW CAN THE SPECIAL NEEDS FOR IMPROVING THE TEACHING OF NEW AND JUNIOR

FACULTY BE MET? Because new faculty members share common concerns about such matters as workload and stress from multiple demands, uncertainty about what is expected of them, a desire for collegial support, and a need to develop teaching skills, a strong argument can be made for supplementing traditional, individual approaches of socialization that help them adjust to their new environment with a collective approach that address these common concerns. Workshops and "substantial" orientation programs for new faculty members that offer concrete assistance with the development of teaching skills and with various common problems are being used successfully in a variety of colleges and universities. In addition, formal mentoring programs for new and junior faculty are also being used at different schools to give concrete assistance with the development of teaching skills, to address professional and personal concerns, and, in general, to counter the vagaries of the usually informal socialization of new college teachers (Boice 1992; Sorcinelli and Austin 1992).

WHAT CAN COLLEGES DO TO IMPROVE TEACHING?

Several approaches, used in concert, can be used to improve instruction in colleges and universities. Ways need to be found to "unfreeze" certain attitudes and behaviors of some teachers that prevent them from improving their teaching. Supportive teaching cultures on campus must be strengthened, especially at those colleges where such cultures are subsidiary to more dominant cultures. More teachers need to be given guided experience in being "reflective practitioners." Students should be treated (and sought out) as active partners in the improvement of instruction. Formal and informal collaboration among colleagues should be rewarded. Chairs need to be encouraged to offer their invaluable support through their creation of an environment conducive to effective teaching. Trained consultants, often though not invariably associated with a campus teaching center, should be recognized as the experts they are in instructional improvement and their activities facilitated. And new and junior faculty must be encouraged and helped with their teaching through programs recognizing their special needs and talents.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Amundsen, Cheryl, Danielle Gryspeerdt, and Katherine Moxness. 1993. "Practice-Centred Inquiry: Developing More Effective Teaching." *Review of Higher Education* 16(3): 329-53.
- Angelo, Thomas A., and K. Patricia Cross. 1993. *Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Baldwin, Roger G., and Ann E. Austin. 1995. "Faculty Collaboration in Teaching." In *Improving College Teaching* edited by Peter Seldin. Bolton, Mass.: Anker.
- Boice, Robert. 1992. *The New Faculty Member*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. Creswell,

John W., Daniel W. Wheeler, Alan T. Seagren, Nancy J. Egly, and Kirk

D. Beyer. 1990. *The Academic Chairperson's Handbook*. Lincoln: Univ. of Nebraska Press.

Edgerton, Russell, Patricia Hutchings, and Kathleen Quinlan. 1991. *The Teaching Portfolio: Capturing the Scholarship in Teaching*. Washington, D.C.: American Association for Higher Education. ED 353 892. 62 pp.

Keig, Larry, and Michael D. Waggoner. 1994. *Collaborative Peer Review: The Role of Faculty in Improving College Teaching*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 2. Washington, D.C.: George Washington University, School of Education and Human Development. ED 378 925. 193 pp.

Lewis, Karron G., and Joyce T. Povlacs, eds. 1988. *Face to Face: A Sourcebook of Individual Consultation Techniques for Faculty Development Personnel*. Stillwater, Okla.: New Forums Press.

L'Hommedieu, Randi, Robert J. Menges, and Kathleen T. Brinko. 1990. "Methodological Explanations for the Modest Effects of Feedback from Student Ratings." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 82(2).

Massy, William F., Andrea K. Wilger, and Carol Colbeck. 1994. "Overcoming 'Hollowed' Collegiality." *Change* 26(4) .

Rice, Eugene R., and Ann E. Austin. 1990. "Organizational Impacts on Faculty Morale and Motivation to Teach." In *How Administrators Can Improve Teaching*, edited by Peter Seldin. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Sorcinelli, Mary Deane, and Ann E. Austin, eds. 1992. *Developing New and Junior Faculty*. New Directions for Teaching and Learning No. 50. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

This ERIC digest is based on a full-length report in the ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report series 95-2, *Taking Teaching Seriously: Meeting the Challenge of Instructional Improvement* by Michael B. Paulsen and Kenneth A. Feldman.

This publication was partially prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. RR93002008. The opinions expressed here do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the department.

Title: Taking Teaching Seriously: Meeting the Challenge of Instructional Improvement. ERIC Digest.

Note: For the full report, see HE 029 245.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education, One Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036-1183 (\$1).

Descriptors: College Faculty, Educational Environment, Feedback, Higher Education, Instructional Improvement, Reflective Teaching, Self Evaluation (Individuals), Student Evaluation of Teacher Performance, Teacher Evaluation, Teacher Improvement

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)